

DISCLAIMER: This document contains the Whatcom County Council or Committee minutes, as approved. However, unless an attested signature page is attached, they are not the final approved minutes.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

WHATCOM COUNTY COUNCIL
Public Works and Capital Projects Committee

December 9, 2003

The meeting was called to order at 1:00 p.m. by Committee Chair Barbara Brenner in the Council Chambers, 311 Grand Avenue, Bellingham, Washington.

Present:

L. Ward Nelson
Sam Crawford

Absent:

None

Also Present:

Seth Fleetwood

**COMMITTEE DISCUSSION AND RECOMMENDATION TO COUNCIL –
COMPREHENSIVE PLAN**

**1. ORDINANCE ADOPTING AMENDMENTS TO THE WHATCOM COUNTY
COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AND ZONING ORDINANCE RELATING TO
ESSENTIAL PUBLIC FACILITIES (AB2003-075B)**

Matt Aamot, Senior Planner, submitted a handout of his Power Point presentation (*on file*). The facilities they are talking about today house or treat people with problems that may or may not be self-imposed. Regardless, the advisory committee's point of view is that these are people with special needs. The committee looked at those needs when determining appropriate zoning districts and areas. He read from the presentation. Both the Growth Management Act (GMA) and the Federal Fair Housing Act require that residences for these handicapped people can't be treated differently than housing for anyone else.

Nelson stated the residences must be treated the same as a family home. A family home doesn't have to be compliant with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA). He asked if these homes don't have to be ADA compliant. Aamot stated he didn't know the answer to that question.

Wendy Jones, Chief of Corrections, said the ADA regulations would still apply for residential treatment. The advisory committee dealt with the question of whether or not they would be allowed in a particular zone. The Fair Housing Act prohibits the County from not allowing a small group home in a residential area just because the residents are unrelated. The focus is on zoning, not ADA issues.

Nelson stated the Planning Commission language recommendation should be for similar residential zones for other people, not structures. Jones stated the language is regarding similarly sized structures. There may be some differences on the face of the structures for mental health and substance abuse. They are

DISCLAIMER: This document contains the Whatcom County Council or Committee minutes, as approved. However, unless an attested signature page is attached, they are not the final approved minutes.

1 recognizing the difference between a group home that would hold six people, for
2 example, and a residential treatment center that holds 60 people. There needs to
3 be different zoning requirements.
4

5 Aamot referenced page 54 of the advisory committee recommendations that
6 allows as a permitted use boarding homes that are similar in size, facilities, and
7 occupancy to other residential structures permitted in the zoning district. If the
8 zone allows apartments, for example, the zone would also have to allow similar
9 sized mental health or substance abuse facilities.

10
11 Aamot continued to read the Power Point presentation regarding facilities
12 that are larger than residential uses.
13

14 Nelson asked who is responsible for the residents of a facility.
15

16 Elizabeth McNagny, Department of Social and Health Services, stated it
17 depends upon the type of facility. Adult family homes, which are the smallest
18 homes, house up to six people. They are often run by the live-in homeowners.
19 Larger facilities have professional staff that don't live in the home. There is always
20 staff who are responsible. The ratios of staff to resident are set in the contract
21 based on the types of problems the residents in the facility have. Small homes are
22 contracted through the State. They're adult homes. The State would be
23 responsible for the homes.
24

25 Brenner stated people with substance abuse problems are more likely to
26 create risks in a neighborhood. If they're ejected from the facility, they're still in
27 the neighborhood. It creates an extra risk in the neighborhood. She agrees that
28 substance abuse is probably an illness at some level, but it does create a higher
29 risk than someone who doesn't have a substance abuse problem. She asked what
30 kind of protection exists for the neighborhood, especially if it's a larger facility.
31 Jones stated that if a resident returns to a larger facility intoxicated or under the
32 influence of drugs, a case manager will be contacted. The person may be taken to
33 crisis respite. They aren't just thrown out of the house. Treatment centers have
34 case plans that anticipate that this may occur.
35

36 Brenner asked if the County could require a conditional use permit in any
37 zone. Aamot stated the larger facilities are required to have a conditional use
38 permit in certain zones, and prohibited in the rest of the zones.
39

40 Nelson asked if the committee worked with the City of Bellingham on this
41 issue. City zonings don't allow a lot of this. Aamot stated the City was invited to
42 these meetings. They came to a few. He doesn't think the City is too far in its
43 essential public facility planning.
44

45 Nelson stated most of these facilities will be in the urban or urban growth
46 areas. The County should work with the City. Aamot stated County staff has been
47 sending the different drafts to the City planning department.

1
2 Aamot continued to state that in 2001, the County Council reformed the
3 agricultural zone and took out a lot of non-agricultural related items, including
4 health care. The advisory committee indicated that these types of operations have
5 been on farms historically. They may be therapeutic. That is the reason for the
6 recommendation.

7
8 Nelson stated he supports the recommendation. Skagit County has such a
9 facility that has worked well.

10
11 Aamot continued to read his Power Point presentation on substance abuse
12 and mental health crisis facilities.

13
14 Brenner stated the language should state that these types of facilities shall
15 be located near medical providers. She asked if they could only locate these
16 facilities in general commercial or light industrial zones. She has a problem with
17 crisis facilities in a residential area, especially if someone is homicidal. These are
18 not residential group home settings. This is crisis management of dangerous
19 people. They don't belong in residential neighborhoods. Aamot stated the County
20 just needs to allow them somewhere.

21
22 Nelson stated one way to help reduce the stigma for individuals is giving
23 them stability. One of the treatment regimens is getting them into areas of
24 stability.

25
26 Brenner stated she agrees, but mentally ill people who are stabilized are
27 taking their medication and substance abusers who are stabilized are off the
28 substance they abuse. Crisis management is not for those who are stabilized.

29
30 Jones stated the primary crisis treatment places in Whatcom County now are
31 within the City of Bellingham. Crisis respite care, social detoxification, and the
32 recovery house are located in the middle of residential areas. The conditional use
33 permit allows the community to work with the provider to address all the issues.
34 Experience has shown that people in crisis who are too out of control won't go to
35 the crisis treatment facility. They will go to the hospital or jail.

36
37 Brenner asked how many years those facilities have been in place. Jones
38 stated the detox center has been at the south campus for as long as she's been
39 around. It's at the hospital, but it's in the middle of a residential area. Crisis
40 respite has existed for eight or nine years.

41
42 Brenner asked if there have been any incidents. Jones stated there probably
43 have been some, but the Sheriff's Office has not booked anyone from crisis respite.
44 She doesn't know of any complaints in the immediate area.

45
46 Nelson stated the issue is not to forbid these facilities, but to set up the
47 conditional use process so there is a clear understanding of what the facility is for.

1
2 Brenner stated the conditional use process can't deny an applicant, but only
3 place conditions on the request. There are places where the facility should be
4 denied outright. The language says a crisis management facility could have public
5 safety implications. They are already saying there is an increased risk with crisis
6 facilities.

7
8 Jane Relin, Whatcom Counseling and Psychiatric Clinic, stated there is not
9 any violence at these kinds of facilities. The crisis respite facilities, by definition,
10 allow people in who sign a no harm contract. If the individual is dangerous, the
11 person needs to be in a secured setting. These are not secured settings. Someone
12 who has not gone through treatment may self-refer themselves to the facility, but it
13 doesn't happen currently at the crisis respite center. It will be much more likely
14 with the triage program as they envision it. If they are going to do a full-blown
15 triage center, people who are unstable will come and go from the facility.

16
17 Nelson stated the term "crisis facility" is not a clear definition. A triage
18 center is a different animal. A crisis residential service itself should not be a threat
19 to the surrounding community.

20
21 Brenner stated a triage-type of facility should be much more carefully located
22 than a residential treatment facility.

23
24 Aamot stated they could define crisis facilities better. He will create a
25 definition. The Council will have to let him know what zones they should be in.

26
27 Nelson stated the general opinion is to not allow them in residential areas,
28 but only more controlled zones.

29
30 Aamot continued to read from his Power Point presentation regarding secure
31 community transition facilities for sex offenders.

32
33 *(Clerk's Note: End of tape one, side A. Councilmember Nelson left the*
34 *meeting at 1:45 p.m.)*

35
36 McNagny stated that these facilities are only for former prisoners who were
37 committed to McNeil Island after their prison term. These sex offenders, when
38 released from prison, are determined to have a mental abnormality or personality
39 disorder that makes them likely to re-offend. The McNeil Island facility was
40 originally set up as a way to indefinitely lock up these people. The federal court
41 said it was unconstitutional, and the state had to provide treatment. If the offender
42 participated in treatment and shows a court that he is safe in the community, they
43 have to give the offender that opportunity.

44
45 Brenner stated level three sex offenders are designated at that level because
46 they aren't safe in the community. They're likely to re-offend. McNagny stated the
47 recidivism rate can be lowered, but not eliminated, through treatment.

1
2 Brenner stated everything she's read says the recovery rate among sex
3 offenders is extremely low. There's no statistic showing there's any significant
4 positive outcome from treatment. McNagny stated the treatment program they use
5 has been shown to reduce recidivism rates. That's why there's so much security
6 around this program. They are required by State and federal law to establish the
7 step-down program. If the State doesn't establish these step-down programs, the
8 result is the \$8 million in fines and the closing of the McNeil Island system. They
9 do not go out into the community and say that these people are completely safe.
10 That's why there's so much security. However, if they don't do it, the court will
11 shut down the entire program. They cannot even see in the foreseeable future
12 when they would come to Whatcom County, unless the law changes. Whatcom
13 County is not within the six counties that the State is required to look at. The
14 County is required to plan for it, but it won't happen immediately.
15

16 Aamot continued to read from his Power Point presentation. The proposed
17 Whatcom County Comprehensive Plan policies are largely based upon the State
18 requirements for siting these facilities.
19

20 Brenner stated she has a problem locating such a facility in a rural, rural
21 residential, or anywhere that's away from the core area. They shouldn't be allowed
22 outside of short-term planning areas at all.
23

24 Aamot finished reading his Power Point presentation.
25

26 Brenner stated this item would be held in committee to work through any
27 amendments.
28

29 Crawford stated the State legislature now has the ability to site and permit
30 methadone treatment clinics. The Substance Abuse Advisory Board has developed
31 some guidelines. He asked if the essential public facilities portion of the
32 Comprehensive Plan is the vehicle by which the Council should address the issue if
33 a private entity wants to set up a regional clinic. Jones stated since it would be a
34 private facility, and this issue is for essential public facilities. It might not be
35 considered an essential public facility.
36

37 McNagny stated most communities consider the methadone treatment
38 centers as essential public facilities because they meet the basic definition, which is
39 a facility that is difficult to site and that serves a public need. An essential public
40 facility can be privately operated. There will soon be two permitted methadone
41 clinics in Snohomish County. A third is going through court review. There has not
42 been an application from Whatcom County, although some interest has been
43 expressed from a Whatcom County tribe.
44

45 Crawford asked how the State permitting process has gone for the
46 Snohomish County facilities. McNagny stated two have gone smoothly, and one
47 has not. There was a proposal for the City of Lynnwood. The provider proposed a

DISCLAIMER: This document contains the Whatcom County Council or Committee minutes, as approved. However, unless an attested signature page is attached, they are not the final approved minutes.

1 clinic before the State Washington Administrative Code (WAC) was approved. The
2 City opposed the site the provider chose. In the WAC's, which are now approved,
3 the State made it clear that the provider has to meet the local zoning requirement
4 before going to the State for a permit.
5

6 Crawford asked if Whatcom County should specifically address methadone
7 treatment clinics in the zoning regulations. This is a new thing. Some day,
8 someone will want to do this in Whatcom County. The community will have to deal
9 with it. If the clinic isn't in Bellingham, it will end up in rural Whatcom County.
10 McNagny stated the State views it as an essential public facility. It is not a
11 residential clinic.
12

13 Aamot asked if it could be included in the category of crisis facility.
14

15 Crawford stated this is a notice that they need to pursue this issue in the
16 future. Whatcom County is going to end up with a proposal some day. They will be
17 better off planning ahead.
18

COMMITTEE DISCUSSION AND RECOMMENDATION TO COUNCIL

1. RESOLUTION DECLARING FORMATION OF THE POINT ROBERTS PARK AND RECREATION SERVICE AREA (AB2002-351D)

21
22
23
24
25 Brenner stated she's been told that the Port of Bellingham is backing off now
26 that the district is formed. It was clear when the Council approved the district that
27 the County would not take on any additional responsibilities other than allowing the
28 district to be formed. She'd like to know if there is an issue with the Port not being
29 as committed as it was before.
30

31 Terrie LaPorte, Point Roberts Chamber of Commerce President and Pier
32 Project Coordinator, stated she was told it's time to find out who is responsible.
33 The Auditor explained to her that the County Council does have the authority to
34 rule on this special service area. She wants to find out what everyone's
35 responsibility is, and how the Council wants the Chamber to proceed.
36

37 Brenner stated she's not comfortable moving forward with a vote until she
38 knows what they can and can't do legally. She doesn't want to commit the County
39 to doing more than what it's already done.
40

41 Crawford stated the next step is a formality to declare the formation of the
42 service area. How the service area is administered is a separate issue.
43

44 Brenner stated she heard the County will be responsible for implementing
45 certain things. She's not going to commit to that.
46

DISCLAIMER: This document contains the Whatcom County Council or Committee minutes, as approved. However, unless an attested signature page is attached, they are not the final approved minutes.

1 Dodd Snodgrass, Port of Bellingham Economic Development Specialist,
2 stated the role of the Port in this project has been to provide technical assistance to
3 the Point Roberts Economic Development Association and the Chamber of
4 Commerce, which acquired a grant to do the feasibility work. The Port contracted
5 with the Chamber to engage an engineering firm to complete permit evaluations.
6

7 Brenner read from previous meeting minutes when Steve Jilk told the
8 Council that the Port will support the community in finding funding. That's doing
9 more than providing technical assistance. The Chamber can't move forward until it
10 finds out whether or not it will be allowed to do this project. Mr. Jilk stated it was
11 assumed that the Port would take that on. The Port has been debating this issue
12 for 14 months. The Port Commissioners have said not to move forward until the
13 community of Point Roberts is willing to operate and maintain the pier. The
14 Chamber couldn't do that until the Port knows that this is what the people of Point
15 Roberts want. The resolution to approve the vote for the district specifically said
16 that Whatcom County does not assume responsibility for future funding of the pier.
17 Make sure that the County isn't being committed to doing anything more than
18 setting up the district. She understood that the Port would assist the Chamber with
19 funding.
20

21 Snodgrass stated the community received a Forest Service grant that would
22 allow them to do some engineering and permit application. The Port has been
23 contractually engaged through this point. The issue now is the governance of the
24 pier. The Port had agreed to put its name on a permit application. Beyond that,
25 the Port doesn't intend to own or manage the pier. The Port's role is to facilitate
26 and work with the community. Creating the special area provides a mechanism for
27 governance, which is a challenge in the Point Roberts community because it's not
28 incorporated. More people are going to have to be at the table to make this work.
29 Creating a special area would provide for a governance ability for the pier.
30

31 Crawford stated it seems that Councilmember Brenner's concern is that there
32 is some added cost to the County that it didn't anticipate.
33

34 Brenner stated she's also concerned about administration, which has a cost
35 to it.
36

37 Crawford stated nothing's really changed. They've successfully taken a step
38 farther in the process. The Port's intention is to continue to work on helping to find
39 funding sources and facilitate planning meetings. Snodgrass stated that's clear.
40 The Port doesn't assume ownership of the project.
41

42 Brenner asked to what the Council is financially committing the County if the
43 Council establishes the service area.
44

45 Randy Watts, Chief Civil Deputy Prosecutor, stated the question is who would
46 monitor the project at the time of construction to ensure that the work is being
47 done in a timely fashion and within budget.

1
2 Snodgrass stated it's not the Port's intention to oversee construction.
3

4 Watts stated his understanding is that the County anticipated coming into
5 the project to take over at the time of maintenance, after the pier is constructed.
6

7 Brenner stated the Council asked those questions already. She understood
8 that the district would be set up and make sure the pier is constructed. The Council
9 did not want to be involved in any construction, which would cost money. Watts
10 stated the Port is thinking that it's done as soon as they get the dollars to construct
11 the pier. The County is thinking that it won't take over until after the pier is
12 constructed. Someone needs to bridge the gap between receiving the money and
13 during construction.
14

15 Crawford stated the ballot item says that the service area will provide
16 financing for park facilities, improvements, or services. He understood that this
17 ballot measure did not propose any financing. It just established the service area.
18 Jim Darling wrote that another agency needed to assume the lead role for the
19 project. He believes that the special district would need to go to the voters for
20 additional revenue. He asked if the special area is an entity that could enter into
21 contracts. Watts stated the purpose for the special area is to create an entity that
22 can contract.
23

24 Crawford asked who will run the district that has just been formed. Watts
25 stated the County Council is the ex officio warden of the district.
26

27 Brenner asked if that means that the County Council or County has to
28 oversee contracts to get the pier built.
29

30 Crawford stated the Council would probably appoint an advisory board for
31 the district.
32

33 Watts stated the special use district indicates that the legislative authority is
34 the governance of the district. He understood from the notes that the district was
35 set up to take over during the time of maintenance, not during the time of
36 construction. They need to clearly understand who will construct the facility for
37 which they need the funds. The Port would have more expertise than a citizen
38 group to run a construction project.
39

40 Brenner asked if the Port can assume the role of pier construction. Watts
41 stated it can.
42

43 Crawford stated the Port runs Port facilities. He asked what's different
44 between this facility and a facility run by the Port.
45

46 Brenner stated the Port doesn't own the land.
47

DISCLAIMER: This document contains the Whatcom County Council or Committee minutes, as approved. However, unless an attested signature page is attached, they are not the final approved minutes.

1 Snodgrass stated the Port has a master plan for all facilities. They're Port
2 assets. They are budgeted for in the capital plan. They're different from a
3 structure that is sited elsewhere in the county. The Port became involved because
4 of its staffing support to the community, its expertise in dealing with permitting
5 agencies, and to advocate the project for funding.
6

7 Brenner asked where they go from here, to allow it to proceed without taking
8 on an obligation they don't want. Watts stated the Council needs to make a firm
9 statement that it will come into the process at the point of maintenance.

10
11 Brenner asked the mechanism to set that up. She asked who determines
12 who is in charge of building the pier. LaPorte stated that now the special district is
13 formed, the Council has been given some power.

14
15 *(Clerk's Note: End of tape one, side B.)*
16

17 Brenner stated it's been made clear that the Council did not want to be
18 involved in building the pier. Wording was included so no one would think
19 construction would be the County's responsibility.
20

21 **Crawford** stated he wants to support the construction of the pier. Since this
22 was approved by a super-majority, he will do whatever he can to help facilitate
23 development of the pier. He is reluctant to commit County funds or long-term
24 maintenance. He **moved** to recommend approving the resolution. He asked why
25 some voter's were opposed.
26

27 LaPorte stated a minority of people don't want anyone to discover Point
28 Roberts. Business members realize the area is economically depressed and they
29 need economic vitalization.
30

31 Brenner stated this is a special district. If the County ends up being in
32 charge of administering it, funds will have to come out of the district. She talked to
33 one person who was concerned about the potential taxation issue. If the County
34 takes this on, it can't use general funds to do anything with it. The County may
35 have to hire or use staff to administer it, which will have to paid for through the
36 district. She doesn't know what the County's charge is at this time. She won't
37 support the motion until she gets some answers about what the County will be
38 responsible for. She asked if this is time critical to move forward now.
39

40 Watts stated they are probably trying to move forward with permits. The
41 people they are talking to are asking about the long-term status of the dock. The
42 Port won't be involved long-term.
43

44 Brenner asked how they can move forward with permits.
45

46 Snodgrass stated the Port has agreed to submit the permits in the Port's
47 name. They have a letter from the County permitting department saying that, at

DISCLAIMER: This document contains the Whatcom County Council or Committee minutes, as approved. However, unless an attested signature page is attached, they are not the final approved minutes.

1 some point, the permitting requestor can be transferred to another body. The Port
2 didn't want to commit to a long-term role by submitting the permits. On the other
3 hand, the Port wants to make sure the project moves forward and they are able to
4 move forward with a secured funding grant.
5

6 Brenner stated the Port could take charge until it's built, then the County will
7 take over. That's not long-term. That's what she thought the understanding was.
8 She assumed the Port would be instrumental in the creation of the pier, and that
9 the County would administrate after construction.
10

11 LaPorte asked if the next step could be for a councilmember to work together
12 with others agencies and people involved so they are all on the same page.
13

14 Crawford stated he will work with the other people and agencies. LaPorte
15 stated they could form a subcommittee that keeps Whatcom County informed of
16 what they're doing. The Port of Bellingham is under contract with the Chamber of
17 Commerce. Their phase I contract ended with the submission of the permits. The
18 Chamber has \$2,700 of grant money and the balance of the \$7,000 that is required
19 to get to this process. They were told, according to the Revised Code of
20 Washington, that the formation of the district relinquishes the Chamber's authority.
21 They have to have a working plan so everyone knows what its responsibilities are.
22

23 Crawford stated he would be willing to assist in any way.
24

25 Brenner stated she supports the idea. The Port should be in charge of
26 construction of the pier. The County should be in charge of maintaining it. She
27 asked if the County is obligating itself to building a pier if the Council approves this
28 item. Watts stated it's not clear where the funds will come from or who will be in
29 charge of the construction project. The service area, as a taxing authority, can
30 raise money. The question is what the public was told the function of the service
31 area would be. He asked if the public was told that this service area would raise
32 the money to build the pier, or that the service area would raise the money to
33 maintain the pier. LaPorte stated the public was told that the district would not pay
34 for construction. Once they secured grant funds to construct the pier, they would
35 go to the voters to ask for a levy to pay for maintenance.
36

37 Brenner stated the County is not obligating itself by going ahead with this
38 request. She understood that first the funds had to be found, and it wasn't going
39 to come from County dollars. Then, a taxation of the people in the service area for
40 maintenance would be done. She asked if the County is obligating itself to
41 construct the pier by approving this item. Watts stated it doesn't sound like they're
42 anticipating that will happen. The question is who will be in charge of the project.
43

44 Brenner asked why the Port wouldn't be in charge if the money is found from
45 grants. Snodgrass stated that might be a possibility. There's still the overall
46 governance of the process. Some funds require a general government and others

DISCLAIMER: This document contains the Whatcom County Council or Committee minutes, as approved. However, unless an attested signature page is attached, they are not the final approved minutes.

1 require a special purpose, such as the Port. A subcommittee will flesh out these
2 details.

3
4 Fleetwood asked what would happen if there is a process by which the
5 County can undo some glaring hole in the organization. Watts stated the question
6 is whether they can dissolve the service area. They can.

7
8 Brenner stated that if they can't find enough money to build the pier, the
9 Council could dissolve the service area. She asked how long it would take for the
10 district to find the money. Watts stated there's nothing in the resolution that
11 establishes levies or collects money for maintenance. They've got a service area.
12 The Treasurer is authorized to issue warrants, but can't do that until money comes
13 in. They are just setting up that mechanism. This resolution sets up a mechanism
14 that will allow them to take control and perform maintenance, which is what the
15 public voted for. This resolution doesn't say the County will construct the dock.

16
17 Brenner asked who is in charge of collecting the money for construction of
18 the pier. LaPorte stated the Pier Committee is in charge. The Port needs to
19 recognize that it isn't taking on the entire project, but is working with the Point
20 Roberts Chamber of Commerce.

21
22 Watts stated there can be a facility funded by public funds and grants that is
23 turned over to the service area. The service area can be in charge of maintenance.

24
25 Snodgrass ask if maintenance and ownership are the same by definition.

26
27 Watts stated they are. The ownership will be the service area or some kind
28 of public ownership in the long-term.

29
30 LaPorte stated that if the service district is the entity, then it would own that
31 pier.

32
33 Brenner stated she understands that it can set up its own board and be in
34 charge at some point. She asked if the County can set it up that way.

35
36 Irene Waters, Point Roberts Parks and Recreation District, stated this is not
37 too different than what they set up for the Parks and Recreation District many
38 years ago. All this will do is create an entity to manage the pier once it's built. The
39 service district will be able to levy funds to maintain the pier. That was clearly
40 stated when they held the public hearings. No money will be asked for until the
41 pier is built.

42
43 Brenner stated the Council is the board of this service district. She asked if
44 the Council can set up a board to be in charge. Waters stated that's what they had
45 to do for the Parks and Recreation District. They have to have elected
46 commissioners.

47

DISCLAIMER: This document contains the Whatcom County Council or Committee minutes, as approved. However, unless an attested signature page is attached, they are not the final approved minutes.

1 Watts stated the resolution they're approving says that the Whatcom County
2 Council will compose the governing body of the service area. Right now, the
3 Council becomes the board. It doesn't mean the Council can't create another
4 board. He would have to check the Revised Code of Washington (RCW).
5

6 Brenner stated she would like that answer, but she is comfortable now with
7 moving ahead.
8

9 LaPorte asked to include language that the Council will appoint a board so it
10 would be in charge of administration.
11

12 Crawford stated they need to approve the resolution. The subcommittee
13 ought to be informal for the time being. Based on the need for supervision, the
14 Council may want to appoint some sort of advisory committee.
15

16 ***Motion to approve the resolution carried 2-0 with Nelson absent.***
17

18 Watts stated RCW 36.68.400 is clear that this service area is a corporate
19 entity with the ability to contract and tax. The members of the County legislative
20 authority, acting ex officio and independently, shall compose the governing body of
21 any park and recreation service area created within the county.
22

23 Brenner stated there are park and recreation areas that have their own
24 governing board. Watts stated that's a park district. One of the differences
25 between a district and a service area might be just that.
26

27 Brenner asked if the Council can set it up as a district or if the Council can
28 create a board.
29

30 Crawford stated he predicts the Council would set up an advisory board, but
31 the Council would vote on all the issues based on the advisory board
32 recommendations.
33

34 **OTHER BUSINESS**
35

36 There was no other business.
37
38

39 **ADJOURN**
40

41 The meeting adjourned at approximately 3:00 p.m.
42
43

44 _____
45 Jill Nixon, Minutes Transcription
46
47

DISCLAIMER: This document contains the Whatcom County Council or Committee minutes, as approved. However, unless an attested signature page is attached, they are not the final approved minutes.

1	ATTEST:	WHATCOM COUNTY COUNCIL
2		WHATCOM COUNTY, WASHINGTON
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	<hr/> Dana Brown-Davis, Council Clerk	<hr/> Barbara Brenner, Committee Chair