

DISCLAIMER: This document contains the Whatcom County Council or Committee minutes, as approved. However, unless an attested signature page is attached, they are not the final approved minutes.

1 management tool to keep people committed. Don't let extremists on either end
2 take control of it. Even though the study is expensive and has problems, it should
3 be fixed with the adequate data they need to show water quality is being sustained.
4

5 Brandon Jordan, 7231 Secluded Lane, Ferndale, referenced Consent Agenda
6 item seven, the **request authorization for the Executive to enter into an**
7 **agreement between Whatcom County and Sudden Valley Community**
8 **Association, City of Bellingham, and Water District No. 10 to purchase a**
9 **restrictive covenant on 83 Sudden Valley tax title lots, in an amount of**
10 **approximately \$18,210 (AB2003-378).** He is a builder who does a lot of work
11 in Sudden Valley. He recently went to the tax foreclosure auction during which
12 several Sudden Valley lots were sold. The average sale price of the lots was
13 approximately \$4,000. For a cost of \$18,000, the Sudden Valley Community
14 Association would make those 83 lots non-buildable, and then go to a public
15 auction. Those lots would be sold for the minimum bid because they have
16 restrictive covenants that no one can build on them. If those 83 lots are sold at a
17 cost of \$800, as opposed to \$4,000, the County would lose approximately
18 \$230,000. Also, if this item would go through, it would limit construction on lots
19 that are not easy to build on. However, some lots are very buildable and
20 contractors are willing to pay good money for them. If the lots remain on the tax
21 title list, the County could sell them for decent revenue. If this item is approved,
22 the lots wouldn't be sold for anything beyond the minimum bid.
23

24 25 **PUBLIC HEARING**

26 27 **1. RESOLUTION BY THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE COUNTY-WIDE** 28 **FLOOD CONTROL ZONE DISTRICT (WCFCZD) MODIFYING AND** 29 **EXTENDING THE CURRENT WCFCZD FUNDING MECHANISM AND** 30 **LEVELS FOR THE SUMAS/NOOKSACK/EVERSON SUBZONE (COUNCIL** 31 **ACTING AS THE FCZDBS) (AB2003-365)** 32

33 Crawford stated the Finance Committee recommends funding option six.
34

35 Jeff Monsen, Public Works Director, gave a staff report and explained the
36 different funding options. He submitted a revised resolution that includes option six
37 and also includes language that clearly defines flood hazard areas, including what is
38 in the flood plain and what is out of the flood plain.
39

40 McShane opened the public hearing and the following people spoke:
41

42 Tom Westergreen, 4800 South Pass Road, Sumas, stated the current
43 subzone is probably adequate, fair and should be continued. The arguments for
44 changing the countywide funding mechanism don't apply to the community-based
45 subzones. He participated in the meeting Monday of the subzone advisory
46 committee representatives. This new option is based more on acreage than
47 assessment value, so it changes the tax burden toward the larger agriculture and

DISCLAIMER: This document contains the Whatcom County Council or Committee minutes, as approved. However, unless an attested signature page is attached, they are not the final approved minutes.

1 forestry parcels. If they have to go with one of the new options, option six seems
2 to even things out, closer to the current funding mechanism.

3
4 Mosen asked that the Council keep the hearing open until December 9 in
5 order to get written testimony from the State.

6
7 Jerry Jergens, 7188 Mission Road, Everson, stated he appreciates the input
8 and effort that has been done on this funding mechanism. They've got it to a point
9 that's doable for the funds the subzones need. He recommended approval.

10
11 **McShane moved** to keep the hearing open to December 9 to receive
12 additional input on the issue.

13
14 **Motion carried unanimously.**

15
16 **2. RESOLUTION BY THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE COUNTY-WIDE
17 FLOOD CONTROL ZONE DISTRICT (WCFCZD) MODIFYING AND
18 EXTENDING THE CURRENT WCFCZD FUNDING MECHANISM AND
19 LEVELS FOR THE ACME/VAN ZANDT SUBZONE (COUNCIL ACTING AS
20 THE FCZDBS) (AB2003-366)**

21
22 **McShane** opened the public hearing and, hearing no one, **moved** to keep
23 the hearing open to December 9 to receive additional input on the issue.

24
25 **Motion carried unanimously.**

26
27 **3. RESOLUTION BY THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE COUNTY-WIDE
28 FLOOD CONTROL ZONE DISTRICT (WCFCZD) MODIFYING AND
29 EXTENDING THE CURRENT WCFCZD FUNDING MECHANISM AND
30 LEVELS FOR THE LYNDEN/EVERSON SUBZONE (COUNCIL ACTING AS
31 THE FCZDBS) (AB2003-367)**

32
33 **McShane** opened the public hearing and, hearing no one, **moved** to keep
34 the hearing open to December 9 to receive additional input on the issue.

35
36 **Motion carried unanimously.**

37
38
39 **COUNCIL "CONSENT AGENDA" ITEM**

40
41 **Crawford** reported for the Finance and Administrative Services Committee
42 and **moved** approval of Consent Agenda items one through ten. Item four includes
43 a substitute scope of work. Item seven includes revisions submitted by staff.

44
45 **Crawford withdrew** item seven. He asked to hold this over for two weeks.
46 There is a potential for higher value due to the possible Lake Whatcom Connector.

DISCLAIMER: This document contains the Whatcom County Council or Committee minutes, as approved. However, unless an attested signature page is attached, they are not the final approved minutes.

1 ***Brenner withdrew*** item eight.
2

3 ***Motion to approve Consent Agenda items one through six and eight***
4 ***through ten as amended carried unanimously.***
5

- 6 **1. REQUEST AUTHORIZATION FOR THE EXECUTIVE TO APPROVE THE**
7 **PURCHASE OF TEN POLICE PURSUIT VEHICLES USING THE**
8 **WASHINGTON STATE CONTRACT, FROM FRONTIER FORD FOR A**
9 **TOTAL PURCHASE PRICE OF \$226,347.83 (AB2003-372)**
10
- 11 **2. REQUEST AUTHORIZATION FOR THE EXECUTIVE TO APPROVE THE**
12 **AWARD OF BID #03-75, THE ANNUAL LIST OF INDIVIDUALS AND**
13 **COMPANIES INTERESTED IN RENTING THEIR EQUIPMENT TO THE**
14 **COUNTY, IN AN AMOUNT THAT MAY EXCEED \$35,000 WITH A SINGLE**
15 **VENDOR (AB2003-373)**
16
- 17 **3. REQUEST AUTHORIZATION FOR THE EXECUTIVE TO ENTER INTO A**
18 **CONTRACT BETWEEN WHATCOM COUNTY AND EXIGENT COMPUTER**
19 **GROUP, INC. FOR UPGRADE OF AUDITOR'S RECORDING**
20 **APPLICATION ENVIRONMENT, IN THE AMOUNT OF \$65,200 (AB2003-**
21 **374)**
22
- 23 **4. REQUEST AUTHORIZATION FOR THE EXECUTIVE TO ENTER INTO A**
24 **CONTRACT BETWEEN WHATCOM COUNTY AND STEWART & KING**
25 **PARTNERSHIP, IN CONJUNCTION WITH PAUL SCHISSLER**
26 **ASSOCIATES, IN THE AMOUNT OF UP TO \$40,000 FOR PHASE I –**
27 **FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR KENDALL COMMUNITY RESOURCE CENTER,**
28 **WITH UP TO AN ADDITIONAL \$34,000 FOR PHASE II, IF THE**
29 **PROJECT IS DEEMED FEASIBLE, FOR A TOTAL CONTRACT AMOUNT OF**
30 **\$74,000 (AB2003-375)**
31
- 32 **5. REQUEST AUTHORIZATION FOR THE EXECUTIVE TO ENTER INTO A**
33 **CONTRACT ADDENDUM BETWEEN WHATCOM COUNTY AND RICH**
34 **ULLSMITH, DDS FOR JAIL DENTAL SERVICES, INCREASING THE**
35 **HOURLY WAGE TO \$120 WITH ANY TIME OVER ONE HOUR BILLED AT**
36 **\$60 PER HALF-HOUR, FOR A MAXIMUM EXPENDITURE OF \$17,000**
37 **(AB2003-376)**
38
- 39 **6. REQUEST AUTHORIZATION FOR THE EXECUTIVE TO ENTER INTO AN**
40 **AGREEMENT BETWEEN WHATCOM COUNTY AND COMPU-TECH, INC.**
41 **TO PROVIDE FOR THE DEVELOPMENT AND SUPPORT OF AS/400**
42 **BASED APPLICATIONS AND PROGRAMS OF THE**
43 **TREASURER/ASSESSOR SYSTEM IN THE AMOUNT OF \$83,200**
44 **(AB2003-377)**
45
- 46 **7. REQUEST AUTHORIZATION FOR THE EXECUTIVE TO ENTER INTO AN**
47 **AGREEMENT BETWEEN WHATCOM COUNTY AND SUDDEN VALLEY**

DISCLAIMER: This document contains the Whatcom County Council or Committee minutes, as approved. However, unless an attested signature page is attached, they are not the final approved minutes.

1 **COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION, CITY OF BELLINGHAM, AND WATER**
2 **DISTRICT NO. 10 TO PURCHASE A RESTRICTIVE COVENANT ON 83**
3 **SUDDEN VALLEY TAX TITLE LOTS, IN AN AMOUNT OF**
4 **APPROXIMATELY \$18,210 (AB2003-378)**
5

6 **Crawford** stated he's talked with several citizens and County administration
7 late this afternoon. There is a possibility that some of these lots have a higher
8 value now than when they went up for auction, because of the Lake Whatcom
9 connector. A buildable lot in Sudden Valley could be worth \$15,000 to \$20,000.
10 The purpose of this program is to lessen development impacts in the Lake Whatcom
11 watershed. However, the question is whether there is the potential for generating
12 close to \$500,000 in revenue for the County. That is the dollar range they are
13 talking about for leveraging to look at much larger parcels of land in the Lake
14 Whatcom watershed. The City of Bellingham just spent \$300,000 on a small
15 number of lots in a small area in the watershed. Their track record of pursuing the
16 best benefit for the money invested is something worth considering. No one in the
17 administration has a problem holding this in committee. There are ten property
18 owners who are affected because they're buying adjoining lots. They would have to
19 wait two more weeks before purchasing those lots. Those lots are not the lots for
20 which he wants to talk about reassessing the value.
21

22 Caskey-Schreiber stated she is opposed to the request. This is one of the
23 most economical methods of reducing density in the watershed. By spending
24 \$18,000, they are going to remove 83 homes in the watershed. They've spent
25 much more money for much less of a density reduction. It's necessary to minimize
26 the negative effects of development in the watershed. This is a surefire way of
27 keeping the human element out of the watershed.
28

29 **Crawford moved** to hold in committee for two weeks so the Council and
30 administration can look into this.
31

32 Brenner stated she would support the motion, but if they can do more of this
33 and less downzoning, they would get a lot of benefit for the money spent. It's not
34 just about the revenue the County gets when a lot is developed. The development
35 requires more in services than the money it generates in revenue. This is a less
36 onerous way to reduce density in the watershed than downzoning.
37

38 Roy stated she agreed with Councilmember Caskey-Schreiber. There's been
39 a lot of input by a lot of different people representing different entities. The Council
40 has thought this through. She's reluctant to back out now because there could be
41 some money made. Making money on this is not the objective in the short term.
42 In the long term, they will save money on water treatment if they can keep density
43 as low as possible.
44

45 Fleetwood agreed with councilmembers Roy and Caskey-Schreiber. He
46 asked what would happen in the next two weeks that might change their minds.
47

DISCLAIMER: This document contains the Whatcom County Council or Committee minutes, as approved. However, unless an attested signature page is attached, they are not the final approved minutes.

1 Crawford stated he's heard that there is a potential value as buildable lots
2 for approximately 20 of the lots. If they pursue this, it would not be to make
3 money for the general fund. He'd be interested in seeing the Council use whatever
4 it can to protect the watershed, whether it's done through another land buyout,
5 resource protection program, or another method. He's interested in keeping the
6 funds generated for the process of environmental protection.
7

8 Nelson stated it's a novel approach. He's skeptical about whether or not the
9 lots are available. He's not concerned about these 80 lots being developed. They
10 are in areas that have been on the market for years. There are a lot of restrictions
11 that will prevent them from being developed. If there are some lots that can be
12 used, they have to consider the Sudden Valley Community's revenue source and
13 ability to support infrastructure. If these lots can be developed and used, the dues
14 will create more money for watershed protection over the long run. The Sudden
15 Valley community is in a better position to provide protection than other
16 communities. The money used from the sale of those lots could be used to
17 purchase areas of greater concern. That may be a more appropriate use of the
18 dollars. He supports looking at the option. They should all keep their minds open
19 for alternative ways of saving the lake.
20

21 Caskey-Schreiber stated they've been informed by the Treasurer that they
22 cannot use excess sale funds from auctioned lots for anything other than going
23 back to the district. They can't use it at random to buy land anywhere else. It
24 doesn't go to the general fund.
25

26 Barbara Cory, Treasurer, stated that when the County sells a tax title lot,
27 any surplus money collected above the taxes, interest, penalties, and costs goes
28 back to the taxing districts where that lot is located, unless the County purchases
29 the lot first. Then the County can do what it would like with that lot.
30

31 Crawford asked the mechanism by which the County could generate real
32 money out of these lots to use as it wishes. Cory stated the Council would have to
33 purchase the lots for the total amount of the taxes, interest, penalties, and costs,
34 and then it could surplus and sell the lots just like any other County property.
35

36 Crawford asked how much the County would pay for those 20 lots. Cory
37 stated the total is around \$73,000 for the lots. The County is sharing the cost with
38 other jurisdictions.
39

40 Caskey-Schreiber stated the County is not buying the lots. It's buying the
41 development rights on the lots. The lots would be owned by the Sudden Valley
42 Community Association, which could trade these more valuable lots with other lots
43 that would be more environmentally valuable.
44

45 ***Motion carried 4-3 with Roy, McShane, Caskey-Schreiber opposed.***
46

1 **8. RESOLUTION APPROVING A SALARY SCHEDULE AND POLICIES FOR**
2 **UNREPRESENTED WHATCOM COUNTY EMPLOYEES FOR THE YEAR**
3 **2004 (AB2003-368)**
4

5 Crawford stated the Finance Committee approved the substitute resolution.
6

7 **McShane moved** to approve the substitute resolution.
8

9 **Brenner moved** to amend the substitute resolution in section 6.1 - Sick
10 Leave, section 6.2 - Vacation, and section 6.3.2 - Personal Holiday, to add the
11 phrase "...but not less than one hour for FLSA exempt employees...."
12

13 **Motion carried unanimously.**
14

15 **Crawford moved** to amend section 7.1 to remove the \$34 per month per
16 councilmember increase. It is a symbolic removal, however, it is important for the
17 Council to make a symbolic move during a time when the revenues are being
18 depleted. It's not appropriate for the Councilmembers to take a pay increase. A
19 couple of weeks ago, he moved to increase the councilmembers' expense accounts
20 with the idea that they would take a stronger contingent of councilmembers to the
21 National Association of Counties (NACo) convention in Washington D.C. There's
22 potential to bring back more revenue for Whatcom County. That motion was not
23 approved. In the case of personal compensation, he is opposed to an increase.
24

25 Brenner requested a friendly amendment to also remove the Executive's
26 increase.
27

28 Crawford did not accept the friendly amendment. The other elected officials
29 are professionals. They rely solely on this compensation as their income. The
30 councilmembers are part-time, and rely on other sources for income. The proposed
31 cost of living adjustment, along with the step increase, is a good plan that's
32 appropriate for the elected officials and the Executive. The councilmembers are in
33 a different situation.
34

35 Caskey-Schreiber stated it's important to keep these wages linked with the
36 Executive, as they were supposed to be in the beginning. They are the lowest paid
37 councilmembers in the entire state. They all work very hard. An increase of
38 twenty dollars a month won't change her life, but they shouldn't penalize people to
39 do government service. She takes leave without pay at her job to work on the
40 Council. She loses money for that. Everyone puts in a lot of time. They shouldn't
41 be penalized financially to give up time to do this job.
42

43 Fleetwood stated he is against the motion. It's tantamount to posturing for
44 political purposes.
45

46 Roy stated she is against the motion. She spent years in education, when
47 teachers were told the same thing in the 1960's, that they don't do the job for

1 money. They do it because they love children and for extra income because the
2 husbands worked. She reacts strongly for that. In this society, compensation is an
3 indicator of respect and value. This is symbolic in that way.
4

5 McShane stated symbolism is important. His concern is that it would
6 symbolically send a message that they can't take care of employees the way they
7 should to attract good people. Treat all employees on an equal footing. That's not
8 a message he's willing to send. They need to be committed to employees. If they
9 don't do this for symbolic reasons, it will send the message that they won't meet
10 the needs of employees who work for less pay than they deserve.
11

12 Brenner stated the way the resolution is structured is the problem. People at
13 the top end of the pay scale are increased thousands of dollars. People at the
14 bottom end of the pay scale get a cost of living increase that they don't even
15 notice. She's not talking about the councilmembers, but the full-time employees.
16 The County increases the pay based on a percentage rather than the same exact
17 monetary amount for all, which is the way they should do it. She has a problem
18 with that. The people at the bottom are employees who are barely hanging on.
19 Her motives are not political posturing, but are because people are having rough
20 times. She supported the motion. It says that times are tough and the
21 councilmembers understand that.
22

23 ***Motion to amend failed 2-5 with Brenner and Crawford in favor.***
24

25 Crawford stated he supports the resolution. It provides a way for employees
26 to come forward when there is a significant discrepancy between comparable wages
27 with county officials in other counties. They've clarified the vehicle by which that
28 can happen.
29

30 *(Clerk's Note: End of tape one, side A.)*
31

32 Crawford continued to state that the elected officials can approach the
33 Council and present a case for the disparity. The Council will consider it during the
34 first quarter of the year. That's a reasonable compromise to the concerns.
35

36 Caskey-Schreiber stated the agreement was that the elected officials who
37 want parity with comparable jobs in other counties would have to be behind by ten
38 percent or more. That isn't specified in section 7.1.2 of the resolution.
39

40 McShane stated it is in section 4.3 of the resolution.
41

42 Caskey-Schreiber stated some of the elected officials are behind in salary
43 parity by more than ten percent right now. She is concerned that the three percent
44 cost of living increase may make them ineligible for the realignment. She asked for
45 clarity on how they would do this process.
46

DISCLAIMER: This document contains the Whatcom County Council or Committee minutes, as approved. However, unless an attested signature page is attached, they are not the final approved minutes.

1 Dewey Desler, Deputy Administrator, stated that during the first quarter of
2 each year, the administration will look at the wages of all the comparable elected
3 officials. They will assume that all the counties have made the decision on their
4 salary levels. The administration will apply that data to this realignment procedure.
5

6 Caskey-Schreiber asked if there is a scenario where Whatcom County will
7 always be behind the comparable counties. She asked how they can rectify that.
8 Desler stated that if the Council wants a different procedure, it can talk about it.
9

10 McShane stated there is a realignment policy in place. Having a separate
11 process for elected officials may be attractive, but the Council should consider the
12 entire policy for all realignments. This involves unions. They should consider the
13 consequences. There is always a potential for Whatcom County to catch up with
14 other counties if it's careful. Other counties are in financial trouble, too.
15

16 Roy stated benefit packages could be considered as a factor in this as well.
17 They've made a step in the right direction by letting the elected officials have the
18 same opportunity to evaluate their salaries. Everyone is compared to the same
19 counties.
20

21 ***Motion to approve as amended carried 6-1 with Brenner opposed.***
22

23 **9. RESOLUTION SETTING THE HEARING OF NOTICE TO DECLARE**
24 **PROPERTY SURPLUS (AB2003-379)**
25

26 **10. RESOLUTION ORDERING THE CANCELLATION OF WARRANTS MORE**
27 **THAN A YEAR OLD (AB2003-380)**
28
29
30

31 **OTHER BUSINESS**
32

33 Crawford stated the Finance Committee conveyed to the administration the
34 concern about the future of emergency medical services (EMS). There has been a
35 considerable amount of feedback from the citizens and constituents. They should
36 send strong messages to the public about the future of EMS and its continued
37 service.
38

39 Dewey Desler, Deputy Administrator, stated the Executive has been working
40 on this matter. They've met with many people to discuss the future of EMS in the
41 community. The Executive is considering forming a group to work on this effort in
42 the coming weeks and months. The administration hasn't worked with the City of
43 Bellingham Mayor's Office yet. This is an opportunity to improve the effectiveness
44 of EMS in the future.
45

DISCLAIMER: This document contains the Whatcom County Council or Committee minutes, as approved. However, unless an attested signature page is attached, they are not the final approved minutes.

1 Crawford stated it's the County's understanding that the City would have to
2 give the County formal notice to pull out of the contract. Once notified, the
3 contract would end in three years.
4

5 Crawford stated the County has in place the budgeted amount for 2004 to
6 continue the service as it is. He asked if the City is agreeable to that. Desler
7 stated the Medic One program will continue to remain in place for 2004 as it
8 operates it today.
9

10
11 **OTHER ITEMS**
12

13
14 **2. ORDINANCE AMENDING THE 2003 WHATCOM COUNTY BUDGET,**
15 **TWELFTH REQUEST (AB2003-363)**
16

17 **Crawford** reported for the Finance and Administrative Services Committee
18 and **moved** approval.
19

20 ***Motion carried unanimously.***
21

22 **3. DISCUSSION OF CONTRACT FOR SERVICES BETWEEN WHATCOM**
23 **COUNTY AND BURLINGTON ENVIRONMENTAL, INC. TO OPERATE THE**
24 **MODERATE RISK WASTE FACILITY AT 3505 AIRPORT DRIVE**
25 **(AB2003-371)**
26

27 Crawford reported for the Finance and Administrative Services Committee
28 and stated this item was for discussion only. The administration will come before
29 the Council again in two weeks for a vote.
30

31 **1. ORDINANCE AMENDING THE MINERAL RESOURCE LAND DISCLOSURE**
32 **REQUIREMENTS AND NOTIFICATION REQUIREMENTS FOR MINING**
33 **PERMITS (AB2003-364)**
34

35 **Roy** reported for the Natural Resources Committee and **moved** approval.
36

37 ***Motion carried unanimously.***
38

39 **4. DISCUSSION OF YEW STREET ROAD/SAMISH WAY INTERSECTION**
40 **ILLUMINATION AND RECOMMENDATION TO APPROVE OPTION A IN**
41 **THE AMOUNT OF \$40,000 (AB2003-381)**
42

43 **Brenner** reported for the Public Works and Capital Projects Committee and
44 **moved** to approve Option A.
45

1 Caskey-Schreiber stated this was scheduled as an intersection that needed
2 an upgrade. She asked if the illumination will have to be moved and redone during
3 the upgrade.

4
5 Jeff Monsen, Public Works Director, stated it will not. They will move ahead
6 with the illumination now, at no impact or cost difference to the project.

7
8 Nelson stated he appreciated the Council's indulgence of his work on this
9 item.

10
11 ***Motion carried unanimously.***

12
13 **5. REPORT ON COMMITTEE DISCUSSION WITH PLANNING AND**
14 **DEVELOPMENT SERVICES DIRECTOR HAL HART REGARDING IMPACT**
15 **FEES (AB2003-331)**

16
17 Fleetwood reported for the Planning and Development Committee and stated
18 there was an interesting discussion on impact fees. To begin the process of
19 creating impact fees, they need to amend the Comprehensive Plan. They have to
20 decide in the next few weeks whether or not they want to do this now or in 2004,
21 before the filing deadline for Comprehensive Plan amendments. It's possible to
22 work on some provisions this year related to traffic, and then spend 2004 thinking
23 about other impact fees. This item will be back in two weeks.

24
25 **6. RESOLUTION SETTING MEETING DATES FOR 2004 (AB2003-370)**

26
27 ***Nelson moved*** to approve the resolution.

28
29 ***Motion carried unanimously.***

30
31 **7. REQUEST CONFIRMATION OF THE EXECUTIVE'S APPOINTMENT OF**
32 **JUDITH COBB, ALTERNATIVE HUMANE SOCIETY REPRESENTATIVE,**
33 **AND MICHELLE PADDIE, CITIZEN REPRESENTATIVE TO THE ANIMAL**
34 **CONTROL ADVISORY COMMITTEE (AB2003-382)**

35
36 ***Fleetwood moved*** to confirm the appointments.

37
38 ***Motion carried unanimously.***

39
40 **8. REQUEST CONFIRMATION OF THE EXECUTIVE'S APPOINTMENT OF**
41 **TRUDY ADAMS TO THE DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES ADVISORY**
42 **BOARD (AB2003-383)**

43
44 ***Crawford moved*** to confirm the appointment.

45
46 ***Motion carried unanimously.***

DISCLAIMER: This document contains the Whatcom County Council or Committee minutes, as approved. However, unless an attested signature page is attached, they are not the final approved minutes.

1 **9. REQUEST CONFIRMATION OF THE EXECUTIVE’S APPOINTMENT OF**
2 **MARTY MAYBERRY TO THE AGRICULTURAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE**
3 **(AB2003-384)**

4
5 *Nelson moved* to confirm the appointment.

6
7 *Motion carried unanimously.*

8
9
10 **COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AMENDMENTS**

11
12 **1. ORDINANCE ADOPTING AMENDMENTS TO THE ENVIRONMENT**
13 **CHAPTER OF THE WHATCOM COUNTY COMPREHENSIVE PLAN**
14 **(CHAPTER 11) (AB2003-075D)**

15
16 Roy reported for the Natural Resources Committee and stated they are still
17 working through this item. The committee will probably finish during the next
18 committee meeting.

19
20
21 **INTRODUCTION ITEMS**

22
23 *Brenner moved* to accept the Introduction Items.

24
25 *Motion carried unanimously.*

26
27 **1. ORDINANCE AMENDING THE OFFICIAL WHATCOM COUNTY ZONING**
28 **MAP AND THE OFFICIAL WHATCOM COUNTY COMPREHENSIVE PLAN**
29 **MAP FOR SOME AREAS WITHIN THE LAKE WHATCOM WATERSHED**
30 **(AS DEFINED ON THE OFFICIAL WHATCOM COUNTY ZONING MAP)**
31 **(AB2003-386)**

32
33 **2. ORDINANCE ADOPTING THE 2004 WHATCOM COUNTY UNIFIED FEE**
34 **SCHEDULE (AB2003-350)**

35
36 **3. ORDINANCE AMENDING THE 2003 WHATCOM COUNTY BUDGET,**
37 **THIRTEENTH REQUEST (AB2003-385)**

38
39 **4. RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE SALE OF WHATCOM COUNTY**
40 **SURPLUS PROPERTY PURSUANT TO WHATCOM COUNTY CODE 1.10**
41 **(AB2003-379A)**

42
43 **5. RESOLUTION FORWARDING A REQUEST TO THE WHATCOM COUNTY**
44 **AUDITOR TO INCLUDE THE QUESTION OF ANNEXATION OF THE CITY**
45 **OF BLAINE INTO FIRE DISTRICT 13 (IN ACCORDANCE WITH RCW**
46 **52.04) ON THE BALLOT AT THE NEXT SPECIAL ELECTION (AB2003-**
47 **387)**

DISCLAIMER: This document contains the Whatcom County Council or Committee minutes, as approved. However, unless an attested signature page is attached, they are not the final approved minutes.

1
2 **6. RESOLUTION NOTIFYING THE PUBLIC OF THE COUNCIL'S INTENT TO**
3 **FILL ONE VACANT POINT ROBERTS FIRE COMMISSIONER POSITION,**
4 **PER RCW 42.12 AND RCW 52.14 (AB2003-388)**
5

6
7 **OTHER BUSINESS**

8
9 See above.

10
11
12 **REPORTS AND OTHER ITEMS FROM COUNCILMEMBERS**
13

14 There were no reports or other items.
15

16
17 **ADJOURN**

18
19 The meeting adjourned at 8:08 p.m.
20

21
22
23 _____
24 Jill Nixon, Minutes Transcription

25 These minutes were approved by Council on December 9, 2003.

26
27 ATTEST:

WHATCOM COUNTY COUNCIL
WHATCOM COUNTY, WASHINGTON

28
29
30
31
32
33 _____
Dana Brown-Davis, Council Clerk

Dan McShane, Council Chair