

DISCLAIMER: This document contains the Whatcom County Council or Committee minutes, as approved. However, unless an attested signature page is attached, they are not the final approved minutes.

1 **3. COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE FOR OCTOBER 7, 2003**

2
3
4 **OPEN SESSION**

5
6 The following people spoke:

7
8 Leonard Lindstrom, resident, stated speaking is an important talent for all
9 Americans. All mouth and no ears is the worst kind of torture. All Americans have
10 their rights and freedoms, and they have their right to use them.

11
12 Nathaniel Wallace, 3220 Alderwood Avenue, Bellingham, stated he wanted to
13 talk about parks and recreation. Frisbee golf in the parks is growing. According to
14 one local business, there are 1,500 customers buying Frisbees. He asked what will
15 go on with that in the future in Bellingham.

16
17
18 **PUBLIC HEARING**

19
20 **1. DEVELOPER RE-IMBURSEMENT APPLICATION SUBMITTED FOR**
21 **BEACON ROAD (AB2003-260)**

22
23 This item was withdrawn from the agenda.

24
25 **2. ORDINANCE CONTINUING THE INTERIM CONDITIONAL USE**
26 **CRITERIA ADOPTED BY ORDINANCE 2003-027 FOR THE SITING OF**
27 **MUSHROOM COMPOSTING AS A CONDITIONAL USE WITHIN THE**
28 **AGRICULTURAL ZONING DISTRICT (AB2003-158A)**

29
30 Caskey-Schreiber asked if a permanent ordinance will come forward.

31
32 Brenner stated that it will. Staff is not ready to bring a final ordinance yet.

33
34 Caskey-Schreiber asked if it went through the Planning Commission.

35
36 Brenner stated she believed the original ordinance did. She didn't know
37 about this ordinance. This is an interim ordinance.

38
39 McShane opened the public hearing and the following people spoke:

40
41 Greg Barlean, Hopewell Neighborhood Association, stated the residents of
42 the area are still out there and still watching. There are 400 residents who are still
43 concerned about this matter. He thanked the County Council for what it's done so
44 far. He would like to see this ordinance rolled over. He would like to see the
45 permanent ordinance that is eventually adopted be the same as this interim
46 ordinance. That's the way it was done in British Columbia. The industry didn't
47 collapse under the weight of the law there.

1
2 Hearing no one else, McShane closed the public hearing.

3
4 ***Brenner moved*** to adopt the ordinance.

5
6 ***Motion carried unanimously.***

7
8 **3. RESOLUTION ADOPTING THE WHATCOM COUNTY 2004 ANNUAL**
9 **ROAD CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (AB2003-332)**

10
11 Joe Rutan, County Engineer, gave a staff report and stated he is available to
12 answer questions. He gave a presentation on this item earlier in the day at the
13 Public Works Committee.

14
15 McShane asked the result of the committee's discussion on possibly closing
16 Frost Road.

17
18 Brenner stated the committee didn't vote on it because of the hearing. No
19 one on the committee wanted to make that amendment.

20
21 Rutan stated there is a proposed amendment to add item 16 to the alternate
22 list as a placeholder for \$20,000 for preliminary engineering to extend West Illinois
23 from Marine Drive to Nome Street.

24
25 Brenner stated the committee voted to include that amendment to the
26 resolution.

27
28 McShane opened the public hearing and, hearing no one, closed the public
29 hearing.

30
31 Caskey-Schreiber stated the committee had a lengthy discussion about Frost
32 Road and how the Council should look at funding road projects. They've never
33 closed roads because of improvements that were too expensive. They asked if
34 there should be criteria about closing roads. This road averaged 180 trips daily
35 before it was closed. The road is 1.1 miles long. She's not convinced that
36 investing \$589,000 to fix the road is best for the county.

37
38 McShane stated that Councilmember ***Fleetwood moved*** approval of the
39 resolution.

40
41 Brenner stated it's a bad precedent to set to change the rules. This is a very
42 old road in the county. If the Council used that criteria, they would close a lot of
43 roads. Building a new road that is a mile long would cost \$1 million or more,
44 especially going over a creek. This is half that cost to reopen a road that has been
45 in use. The numbers given were a few years old. Traffic will increase on that road
46 because of the nature of the county. There will be more traffic on all roads. A big
47 culvert would have been better than a bridge, but a bridge was the

DISCLAIMER: This document contains the Whatcom County Council or Committee minutes, as approved. However, unless an attested signature page is attached, they are not the final approved minutes.

1 recommendation of the Public Works staff. Contractors who've done these kinds of
2 jobs say that a big culvert would provide as much strength and safety as a bridge
3 and would allow the fish to go through. They're doing that in other areas.
4 Whatever they do, they should not close Frost Road. It gives people an alternate
5 route. The fire marshal spoke in favor of keeping the road open.
6

7 Nelson stated he traveled that area extensively. There is only one access
8 into Sumas from that area. They had to keep as many routes as possible open for
9 safety reasons. If something happened to the other road, it's better to have a
10 route open to Sumas. Nelson asked if there needs to be a motion to include the
11 proposed item 16 to the alternate list, as recommended by staff.
12

13 ***Fleetwood accepted that item as a friendly amendment*** to his motion
14 to approve the resolution.
15

16 ***Nelson moved*** to include \$10,000 to study overhead illumination at the Yew
17 Street Road and Samish Way intersection. Rutan stated the staff could begin to
18 analyze overhead illumination and then amend the annual road program and ask
19 the Council for the money later.
20

21 Crawford stated that intersection is almost hidden. He's concerned that the
22 Public Works Department has a methodology of evaluating roads based on safety
23 issues. He's concerned about putting this item that might not be a safety concern
24 ahead of something else that is a safety concern. Don't bump another project that
25 protects public safety. Rutan stated item nine includes \$50,000 for preliminary
26 engineering and right-of-way. Staff can use that money to analyze the overhead
27 illumination. Staff can come to the Council and create a separate project in
28 advance of the Yew Street project.
29

30 Nelson stated the intersection can't be seen. With more use on Samish Way,
31 there could be more harm. He's talked to the neighbors, who agree that it's a
32 problem. If it's a problem, address it now. He wants to know the cost, including a
33 power source for the light. Rutan stated they also want to make sure they place
34 the illumination appropriately so they don't have to move it when they do the
35 proposed extension. That's why it's appropriate to be part of project nine.
36

37 Nelson asked if they need \$10,000 added. Rutan stated they do not. Staff
38 can look at that intersection now, which is why it's on the annual road program
39 now.
40

41 ***Nelson amended his motion*** to include evaluation of illumination at the
42 Yew Street Road and Samish Way intersection as part of project nine.
43

44 Brenner stated the committee understood that this would not bump any
45 other project. The Council will just get some information. Rutan stated the staff is
46 planning on working on that project anyway.
47

DISCLAIMER: This document contains the Whatcom County Council or Committee minutes, as approved. However, unless an attested signature page is attached, they are not the final approved minutes.

1 ***Motion to amend carried unanimously.***
2

3 ***McShane moved*** to remove the Frost Road culvert/bridge replacement
4 project from the list and replace it with a Frost Road closure. The money could be
5 directed to do a lot of work on South Pass Road, which has serious problems and on
6 Reese Hill Road itself, which will need significant repairs in the future.
7

8 ***Motion failed 2-5 with Caskey-Schreiber and McShane in favor.***
9

10 ***Motion to approve the resolution as amended carried unanimously.***
11

12 **4. RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING APPLICATION FOR A COMMUNITY**
13 **DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT, GENERAL PURPOSES GRANT IN THE**
14 **AMOUNT OF \$1,000,000 (AB2003-341)**
15

16 *(Clerk's Note: The CDBG required handouts are included in the Council's*
17 *packet.)*
18

19 Dewey Desler, Deputy Administrator, gave a staff report and stated the
20 County has been working with the Birch Bay area for a long time to develop an
21 application for \$1 million for a community development block grant. This grant
22 would construct new sanitary sewers in the community around Birch Bay. On-site
23 septic systems in that area have degraded. There is concern about shellfish quality
24 and water quality. The Council is required to hold a public hearing and approve a
25 resolution supporting an application. The administration is working with the Birch
26 Bay Water and Sewer District Manager. The criteria used to examine the nature of
27 the problem and the support will be enough to generate money to allow the project
28 to move forward successfully.
29

30 McShane asked if there is a needs-based approach to funding individuals.
31 Desler stated there is. Enough people in the community are relatively low-income
32 and will qualify.
33

34 This is the first time the County has used this funding source. The County
35 has chosen not to engage in this funding source in years past because it is an
36 intensive, bureaucratic process. There is a heavy load of regulations. The County
37 is planning to use this fund source with a series of programs including a housing
38 trust fund. The County is learning how to deal with a complicated administrative
39 process.
40

41 McShane asked if the County should have a set of criteria when it considers
42 these applications. The area is an urban growth area not adjacent to a city. The
43 County is playing the role that a city government would play. The same would be
44 true in Kendall. Elsewhere, the County should be leery of getting involved. Desler
45 stated he doesn't know all the components yet of the administrative burden. If the
46 application is approved, the administration will carry it out effectively. The
47 administration will work with the Birch Bay Sewer and Water District to establish a

DISCLAIMER: This document contains the Whatcom County Council or Committee minutes, as approved. However, unless an attested signature page is attached, they are not the final approved minutes.

1 sub-recipient relationship. That means the district will take on certain County
2 duties. The County will assume the district can do those duties properly because
3 the County has ultimate liability.

4
5 Brenner stated that isn't what they are dealing with regarding other
6 proposals. Deal with this proposal on its own merits.

7
8 McShane opened the public hearing and the following people spoke:

9
10 Earl Listebarger, 8472 Cedar Way, Birch Bay View Community Association
11 President, stated they've had meetings trying to sort out how to address the
12 problems with drain fields. A lot of the problems develop during the rainy season
13 and some are yearlong. The community used to be made up of weekenders, but is
14 now mostly made up of full-time residents. This has made the problem continually
15 worse. The soil is not conducive to having septic systems. They also have urban
16 residential, four units per acre (UR-4) zoning, which requires sewer. The
17 community is primarily middle class with some retired and some lower class
18 younger people. They would like to pursue the sewer, but make it affordable for
19 everyone there, especially the low income people. The repairs to the septic
20 systems are not long-term. The problems will come back. The community took an
21 income survey and met the requirements for the block grant. Also, seventy-six
22 percent of the people are in favor of the sewer.

23
24 Roger Brown, Birch Bay Water and Sewer District General Manager, provided
25 an updated project description (*on file*) and stated there have been efforts to fix the
26 problem over the years that have all been unsuccessful. Birch Bay Water and
27 Sewer District supports the application. The district is willing to go ahead and build
28 the sewers without forming a utility local improvement district and recover costs via
29 connection fees instead of assessments. That way, they can show the block grant
30 people that they're really ready to proceed. The district intends to bear as much of
31 the administrative burden as possible. The project has community support.
32 Attached to the resolution are three letters from the community. The project
33 summary form was signed by the Executive. It is essentially an executive
34 summary, and gets done when the application is complete. He'll have to revise it
35 to reflect changes that may come up.

36
37 Crawford asked if the proposal would tie into Selder Road. Brown stated
38 there is a manhole where Ridge Road goes into Selder Road.

39
40 Crawford asked if all they are talking about is putting in a sewer system to
41 the area, and if they don't need to do additional pump stations or add capacity to
42 the treatment plant. Brown stated they don't need to add pump stations or
43 capacity.

44
45 Caskey-Schreiber asked if this will provide sewer to any undeveloped lots
46 and, if so, a latecomer's fee will be charged. Brown stated the concept is to look at
47 the sewer system at the end of the design phase to figure out all the potential

DISCLAIMER: This document contains the Whatcom County Council or Committee minutes, as approved. However, unless an attested signature page is attached, they are not the final approved minutes.

1 properties that could hook up. That would include property on Selder between the
2 manhole and the area where they will provide service. There could be other
3 properties. He'll calculate the number of likely connections, assign a connection fee
4 to those properties when they hook up.

5
6 Sharon Groen, 8407 Selder Road, Blaine, stated she lives in the Birch Bay
7 View community.

8
9 *(Clerk's Note: End of tape one, side A.)*

10
11 Groen continued to state that other sources of funds were explored but the
12 community development block grant (CDBG) seemed to work the best. Seniors
13 over 62 years old may be able to access a U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA)
14 grant to afford the cost of hook up. Mr. Brown is planning to look to the
15 Department of Ecology for a .5 percent no interest loan. Many of the low income
16 residents have concerns about affording the sewer installation. Water restriction
17 mandates from the Department of Health mean laundering and bathing have to
18 occur away from home for many residents from November to April. Some low-
19 income residents were laid off from Boeing or Georgia-Pacific. Children are not
20 allowed to play in some yards after heavy rains because sewer seepage runs over
21 the ground. There is a real potential health hazard. At least ten of the 84 full-time
22 households have had sand mound systems installed in the past ten years to solve
23 their septic failures. In the last three years, the average cost for each is \$13,000.
24 A number of these residents have had problems with them functioning properly due
25 to topography and demography. The grant is closer to \$870,000. there is a limit
26 of \$10,000 per full-time household. There are 84 to 87 full-time households now.

27
28 Roy Morrell, resident, stated he is low income and lives off his social
29 security. He is 76 years old. The sewer would be a hardship if they have to have
30 liens against property for hookups. He would like block grant approved. Staff from
31 the Rural Housing Division of the Department of Agriculture in Mt. Vernon has all
32 the information.

33
34 Hearing no one else, McShane closed the public hearing.

35
36 **Caskey-Schreiber moved** to approve the resolution. This is a great
37 solution for the community. Councilmember Roy has been a great advocate of the
38 project. She thanked the community for coming up with this solution.

39
40 Brenner stated she supports the application. She thanked Roger Brown for
41 his hard work on this application. In the past, the district requested a ULID, which
42 is very punitive. Some people might have lost their homes at that time. She's glad
43 they have a solution that doesn't punish the people who can't afford to pay for it.

44
45 Roy stated there are letters from the Health Department, Birch Bay Steering
46 Committee, and community individuals. This is a popular project, especially given
47 the shellfish warning. She thanked Roger Brown. This wouldn't have happened

DISCLAIMER: This document contains the Whatcom County Council or Committee minutes, as approved. However, unless an attested signature page is attached, they are not the final approved minutes.

1 without his creative efforts. This could be administratively challenging. The County
2 needs to take a leap of faith and trust that the district will assist with
3 administration.

4
5 ***Motion carried unanimously.***

6
7 **5. ORDINANCE ADOPTING AMENDMENTS TO CHAPTER 8 RESOURCE**
8 **LANDS – FOREST RESOURCE LANDS SECTION OF THE WHATCOM**
9 **COUNTY COMPREHENSIVE PLAN (AB2003-075C)**

10
11 Kurt Baumgarten, Planner I, gave a staff report and stated this is part of the
12 2003 Comprehensive Plan review. Council packet page 291 directs the County to
13 adopt standards for the administration of forest regulations related to class IV
14 forest practice conversion activities by December 31, 2005. That is solely for the
15 purpose of class IV conversion, not class I, II, or III forest practices.

16
17 Crawford asked the differences of the different classes of forest practices.
18 Baumgarten stated it has to do with the perceived impacts. Class IV practices are
19 described as not meeting the requirements of class I or class II. Class I forest
20 practices, minimal or specific forest practices, have no direct potential for damaging
21 public resources. Class II forest practices have less than ordinary potential for
22 damaging public resources. Class III forest practices require a higher level of
23 review through the State Department of Natural Resources. Class IV forest
24 practices take place on lands that are converted, will soon be converted, or have
25 been platted after January 1, 1960.

26
27 McShane stated class IV forest practices should follow the County's critical
28 areas ordinance instead of other different forest land rules, in terms of buffers.

29
30 McShane opened the public hearing and the following people spoke:

31
32 Linda Hermanutz, 1747 Sapphire Trail, stated she would be in favor of an
33 ordinance getting the Department of Natural Resources (DNR) permitting and the
34 County permitting together so only one agency does permitting.

35
36 McShane stated this item is policy direction from which ordinances would
37 come. Under State law, the County will have to have a County rule governing class
38 IV forestry applications by 2005.

39
40 Hermanutz stated she supported that State law.

41
42 Crawford stated there are numerous goals that support that concern. It's
43 acknowledged that it is something the County needs to work toward.

44
45 Caskey-Schreiber stated they all agree that there should be one process,
46 preferably run by the County, but the County doesn't have control over it.

47

DISCLAIMER: This document contains the Whatcom County Council or Committee minutes, as approved. However, unless an attested signature page is attached, they are not the final approved minutes.

1 Nelson stated he doesn't necessarily share that opinion.

2
3 Hearing no one else, McShane closed the public hearing.

4
5 **McShane moved** to forward the ordinance to the concurrency hearing.

6
7 **Motion carried unanimously.**

8
9
10 **CONSENT AGENDA**

11
12 Dewey Desler, Deputy Administrator, asked that item one be held to the
13 next meeting. The administration needs to make the written agreement the same
14 as the verbal agreement.

15
16 **Crawford** reported for the Finance and Administrative Services Committee
17 and **moved** approval of Consent Agenda items two and three.

18
19 **Motion to approve Consent Agenda items two and three carried**
20 **unanimously.**

- 21
22 **1. REQUEST AUTHORIZATION FOR THE EXECUTIVE TO ENTER INTO AN**
23 **INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT BETWEEN WHATCOM COUNTY SHERIFF'S**
24 **OFFICE AND THE PORT OF BELLINGHAM TO PROVIDE LAW**
25 **ENFORCEMENT AND SCREENING SERVICES TO THE BELLINGHAM**
26 **AIRPORT FOR OUTGOING COMMERCIAL FLIGHTS, IN THE AMOUNT**
27 **OF \$267,450.84 (AB2003-344)**
- 28
29 **2. REQUEST AUTHORIZATION FOR THE EXECUTIVE TO ENTER INTO A**
30 **RENEWAL OF LEASE AGREEMENT BETWEEN WHATCOM COUNTY AND**
31 **SANITARY SERVICE COMPANY FOR THE CEDARVILLE AND BIRCH BAY**
32 **TRANSFER STATIONS, IN THE AMOUNT OF \$50.00 PER MONTH PER**
33 **FACILITY, FOR A FIVE-YEAR TERM (AB2003-345)**
- 34
35 **3. REQUEST AUTHORIZATION FOR THE EXECUTIVE TO ENTER INTO A**
36 **RENEWAL OF LEASE AGREEMENT BETWEEN WHATCOM COUNTY AND**
37 **POINTS RECYCLING AND REFUSE L.L.C. FOR THE POINT ROBERTS**
38 **LANDFILL FACILITY, IN THE AMOUNT OF \$50.00 PER MONTH, FOR A**
39 **FIVE YEAR TERM (AB2003-346)**

40
41
42 **OTHER ITEMS**

- 43
44 **1. ORDINANCE AMENDING THE 2003 WHATCOM COUNTY BUDGET,**
45 **ELEVENTH REQUEST (AB2003-340)**

DISCLAIMER: This document contains the Whatcom County Council or Committee minutes, as approved. However, unless an attested signature page is attached, they are not the final approved minutes.

1 **Crawford** reported for the Finance and Administrative Services Committee
2 and **moved** to adopt the ordinance.
3

4 McShane stated he would abstain because there is funding for the Energy
5 Facility Site Evaluation Council (EFSEC). He is a member of EFSEC.
6

7 **Motion carried 6-0-1 with McShane abstaining.**
8

9 **2. ORDINANCE AMENDING THE OFFICIAL WHATCOM COUNTY ZONING**
10 **ORDINANCE, CHAPTER 20.72 – POINT ROBERTS SPECIAL DISTRICT**
11 **AND CHAPTER 20.97 – DEFINITIONS (AB2003-317A)**
12

13 **Fleetwood** reported for the Planning and Development Committee and
14 **moved** to approve the ordinance with the substitute version of Exhibit A.
15

16 Brenner stated that when the Council goes ahead with a vote without
17 discussion, it's usually because there's been discussion during a committee meeting
18 earlier in the day.
19

20 **Motion carried 6-1 with Crawford opposed.**
21

22 Crawford stated he has concerns that he brought up about tree retention.
23 It's been a controversial issue. The Council could be doing additional work.
24

25 Brenner stated the tree retention provisions for Point Roberts are very mild.
26 She hasn't heard any concerns about the tree retention regulations in this area,
27 which are very different from other tree retention areas.
28

29 **3. RESOLUTION CERTIFYING AND ACCEPTING THE PROPOSED POLL**
30 **BOOK FOR THE BERTRAND WATERSHED IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT**
31 **FORMATION ELECTION (AN IRRIGATION DISTRICT) (AB2003-226B)**
32

33 **Nelson moved** to approve the resolution.
34

35 Brenner stated the concept is a good one. In the future, find a different way
36 to do the vote. She strongly believes in one vote for one person. It bothers her
37 that the votes are heavily weighed in favor of the people with the most property. It
38 may be fine that they'll bear the greatest burden, but it's important to have a
39 majority vote. The super-minority can make a decision for the majority. Find a
40 different way to do this in the future.
41

42 Caskey-Schreiber asked if there is no other way to do this.
43

44 Fleetwood stated it doesn't have to be done this way. The law provides
45 municipal authorities a great deal of discretion. The voting scheme only has to be
46 reasonable.
47

DISCLAIMER: This document contains the Whatcom County Council or Committee minutes, as approved. However, unless an attested signature page is attached, they are not the final approved minutes.

1 McShane stated there was a meeting about the bond with the proponents at
2 some point. He raised the possibility of finding another mechanism for funding.
3 They were not interested in a local improvement district.
4

5 Caskey-Schreiber stated she's questioning the voting process.
6

7 Dave Grant, Senior Civil Deputy Prosecutor, stated the County doesn't have
8 that option. It's governed by Revised Code of Washington (RCW) 87.03. The rules
9 governing the irrigation district elections are peculiar to the subject matter. They
10 don't comport with general election laws. The State Supreme Court has already
11 upheld the different voting procedures. There are issues that could arguably be
12 raised. For the County's purpose, the County needs to abide by the chapter in the
13 RCW.
14

15 Fleetwood stated the vote is fair, given the disproportionate impact it can
16 have on some people with more land. As a technical point, there are some ways to
17 vote differently. Grant stated he is aware of the U.S. Supreme Court's decision
18 relating to this issue. The State statutes delineate how the election is to proceed.
19 The County doesn't have the authority to alter the procedures. There is an issue
20 about husbands and wives who hold property as community property have the
21 prerogative to divide their votes. Anyone else in business relationships have to
22 vote as a block.
23

24 Brenner stated she agreed that there is a disproportionate burden on the
25 larger property owners. However, the larger property owners could form their own
26 entity to pay for it themselves. Whether or not it's disproportionate, they are
27 requiring people who will not gain any benefit to pay for this. They don't have an
28 equal vote. That's unfair. She will support the resolution because they don't have
29 a choice. She hoped the County could lobby the State to get those rules changed.
30 Grant stated the Council sets the boundaries of the district. There are guidelines in
31 the statutes for defining where the boundaries ought to be. Part of the guidelines
32 speaks to the issue of whether the land would be benefited by the district. No
33 benefit is a basis for withdrawing land from the district.
34

35 McShane stated there is an opportunity to change the boundary.
36

37 Brenner stated they can only gerrymander that boundary so much. It has to
38 have a contiguous boundary. She preferred to lobby the State to change the rules
39 for the next time they do one of these. The concept of forming the district is a
40 great idea.
41

42 ***Motion carried unanimously.***
43

44
45 **COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AMENDMENTS**
46

DISCLAIMER: This document contains the Whatcom County Council or Committee minutes, as approved. However, unless an attested signature page is attached, they are not the final approved minutes.

1 **1. ORDINANCE ADOPTING AMENDMENTS TO THE LAND USE CHAPTER**
2 **OF THE WHATCOM COUNTY COMPREHENSIVE PLAN (CHAPTER 2),**
3 **WHICH INCLUDES RURAL LANDS (AB2003-075E)**
4

5 Fleetwood reported for the Planning and Development Committee and stated
6 this item was held in committee for two weeks.
7

8
9 **INTRODUCTION ITEMS**

10 *Brenner moved* to accept the Introduction Items, including the addendum.
11
12

13 *Motion carried unanimously.*
14

15 **1. ORDINANCE AMENDING THE OFFICIAL WHATCOM COUNTY**
16 **COMPREHENSIVE PLAN MAP FOR 0.76 ACRES IN THE CROSSROADS**
17 **COMMERCIAL DESIGNATION OF HINOTE'S CORNER (AB2003-075F)**
18

19 **2. ORDINANCE ADOPTING THE FINAL 2004 WHATCOM COUNTY BUDGET**
20 **(AB2003-228B)**
21

22 **3. ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE LEVY OF TAXES FOR COUNTY AND**
23 **STATE PURPOSES IN WHATCOM COUNTY, WASHINGTON, FOR THE**
24 **YEAR OF 2004 PURSUANT TO HOME RULE CHARTER SECTION 6.10**
25 **(AB2003-347)**
26

27 **4. ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE LEVY OF TAXES FOR COUNTY ROAD**
28 **PURPOSES IN WHATCOM COUNTY, WASHINGTON, FOR THE YEAR**
29 **2004 PURSUANT TO HOME RULE CHARTER SECTION 6.10 (AB2003-**
30 **348)**
31

32 **5. ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE LEVY OF TAXES FOR COUNTY**
33 **CONSERVATION FUTURES PURPOSES IN WHATCOM COUNTY,**
34 **WASHINGTON, FOR THE YEAR 2004 PURSUANT TO HOME RULE**
35 **CHARTER SECTION 6.10 (AB2003-349)**
36

37 **6. RESOLUTION MODIFYING THE WHATCOM COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL**
38 **ZONE DISTRICT (WCFCZD) FUNDING MECHANISM (AB2003-351)**
39 **(COUNCIL ACTING AS THE FCZDBS)**
40

41 **7. RESOLUTION ADOPTING THE WHATCOM COUNTY 2004 PHYSICAL**
42 **PLANT AND FACILITIES CAPITAL PROGRAM (AB2003-352)**
43

44 **ADDENDUM:**

45 **8. RESOLUTION OPPOSING THE USA PATRIOT ACT (AB2003-**
46 **353)**
47

DISCLAIMER: This document contains the Whatcom County Council or Committee minutes, as approved. However, unless an attested signature page is attached, they are not the final approved minutes.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

OTHER BUSINESS

There was no other business.

REPORTS AND OTHER ITEMS FROM COUNCILMEMBERS

There were no reports or other items.

ADJOURN

The meeting adjourned at 8:24 p.m.

Jill Nixon, Minutes Transcription

These minutes were approved by Council on November 18, 2003.

ATTEST: WHATCOM COUNTY COUNCIL
WHATCOM COUNTY, WASHINGTON

Dana Brown-Davis, Council Clerk

Dan McShane, Council Chair